

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0134791

Owner: Emory Creek Ranch LLC
Address: 101 Adair Rd., Branson, MO 65616

Continuing Authority: Emory Creek Ranch Property Owners Association, Inc.
Address: 101 Adair Rd., Branson, MO 65616

Facility Name: Emory Creek Ranch Phases 3-4-5 WWTF
Facility Address: Emory Creek Blvd., Branson MO 65681

Legal Description: NW¼, SE¼, Sec. 30, T24N, R21W, Taney County
UTM (X,Y): 478206 / 4067053

Receiving Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Emory Creek (U) (Losing)
First Classified Stream and ID: Emory Creek (C) (02435)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (11010003-010006)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - Subdivision / Sewerage Works - SIC #8641 / 4952

Recirculating sand filter system / chemical feed to facilitate phosphorus removal / flash mix / coagulation / ultraviolet disinfection / sludge disposal by contract hauler.

Design organic population equivalent is 405.
Design average daily flow is 0.0405 MGD.
Design sludge production is 4.05 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

October 04, 2010
Effective Date


Kip A. Stetzler, Acting Director Department of Natural Resources

October 03, 2015
Expiration Date


Cynthia S. Davies, Regional Director, Southwest Regional Office

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					PAGE NUMBER 2 of 7	
					PERMIT NUMBER MO-0134791	
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	20		10	once/month**	****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	30		15	once/month**	****
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
Fecal Coliform	#/100 ml	1,000		400 (Note 1)	once/month**	grab
Total Phosphorus as P	mg/L	1.0		0.5	once/month**	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L				once/month**	grab
{April – June}		6.8		2.6		
{July - September}		3.2		1.2		
{October - December}		6.8		2.6		
{January - March}		7.5		2.9		
Nitrate	mg/L	20.1		10.0	once/month**	grab
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (Note 2)	mg/L	0.75		0.37	once/month**	grab
Iron, Total Recoverable (Note 2)	mg/L	0.6		0.3	once/month**	grab
Temperature	°C	*		*	once/month**	grab
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE November 28, 2010 . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival	See Special Condition			once/permit cycle	24 hr. composite
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE January 28, 2015 .						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected monthly), report due by April 28th.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH for all facilities except lagoons is limited to the range of 6.0-9.0 pH units.
- **** A composite sample made up from a minimum of four grab samples collected within a 24-hour period with a minimum of two hours between each grab sample. A person may physically collect the four grab samples or a composite sampler may be set up to collect the four grab samples.

Note 1 - Monthly average limit for Fecal Coliform is expressed as a geometric mean. Geometric mean for
 $n \text{ samples} = [a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3 \dots \times a_n]^{1/n}$

Note 2 - If no Aluminum or Iron was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as "0 mg/L".

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to areawide wastewater treatment system within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

6. Water Quality Standards

- (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
- (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
- (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

7. Sludge and Biosolids Use For Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities

- (a) Permittee shall comply with the pollutant limitations, monitoring, reporting, and other requirements in accordance with the attached permit Standard Conditions.
- (b) If sludge is not removed by a contract hauler, permittee is authorized to land apply biosolids. Permit Standard Conditions, Part III shall apply to the land application of biosolids. The department may require submittal of a biosolids management plan for department review and approval as determined appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

8. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT				
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH
001	100 %	once per permit cycle	24 hr. composite	Any in 2014, but report is due January 28, 2015

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

Dilution Series						
100%	50%	25%	12.5%	6.25%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

$AEC\% = \text{outfall design flow cfs} / (\text{ZID cfs} + \text{outfall design flow cfs})$

(a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements

- (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
 - a. For discharges of stormwater, samples shall be collected within three hours from when discharge first occurs.
 - b. Samples submitted for analysis of stormwater discharges shall be collected as a grab.
 - c. For discharges of non-stormwater, samples shall be collected only when precipitation has not occurred for a period of forty-eight hours prior to sample collection. In no event shall sample collection occur simultaneously with the occurrence of precipitation excepting for stormwater samples.
 - d. A twenty-four hour composite sample shall be submitted for analysis of non-stormwater discharges.
 - e. Upstream receiving water samples, where required, shall be collected upstream from any influence of the effluent where downstream flow is clearly evident.
 - f. Samples submitted for analysis of upstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - g. Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
 - h. Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analyses performed upon any other effluent concentration.
 - i. All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
 - j. Where flow-weighted composite sample is required for analysis, the samples shall be composited at the laboratory where the test is to be performed.
 - k. Where in stream testing is required downstream from the discharge, sample collection shall occur immediately below the established Zone of Initial Dilution in conjunction with or immediately following a release or discharge.
 - l. Samples submitted for analysis of downstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - m. All instream samples, including downstream samples, shall be tested for toxicity at the 100% concentration in addition to any other assigned AEC for in-stream samples.
- (2) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
- (3) If the effluent fails the test, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met:
 - (i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
 - (ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
- (4) Failure of at least two multiple-dilution tests during any period of accelerated monitoring violates the permit narrative requirement for aquatic life protection.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (5) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
 - (6) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third MULTIPLE DILUTION test: A toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
 - (7) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
 - (8) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
 - (9) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the Department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
 - (10) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.
- (b) PASS/FAIL procedure and effluent limitations:
- (1) To pass a multiple-dilution test:
 - (i) For facilities with a computed percent effluent at the edge of the zone of initial dilution, Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) OF 30% OR LESS, the AEC must be less than three-tenths (0.3) of the LC₅₀ concentration for the most sensitive of the test organisms; **OR**,
 - (ii) For facilities with an AEC greater than 30%, the LC₅₀ concentration must be greater than 100%; **AND**,
 - (iii) all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC must be nontoxic. Mortality observed in all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of METHODS FOR MEASURING THE ACUTE TOXICITY OF EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS TO FRESHWATER AND MARINE ORGANISMS or other federal guidelines as appropriate or required. Failure of one multiple-dilution test may be considered an effluent limit violation.
- (c) Test Conditions
- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
 - (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below.
 - (3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
 - (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Acceptable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
 - (5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
 - (6) Multiple-dilution tests will be run with:
 - (i) 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25% effluent, unless the AEC is less than 25% effluent, in which case dilutions will be 4 times the AEC, two times the AEC, AEC, 1/2 AEC and 1/4 AEC;
 - (ii) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
 - (iii) reconstituted water.
 - (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
 - (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

SUMMARY OF TEST METHODOLOGY FOR WHOLE-EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS

Whole-effluent-toxicity test required in NPDES permits shall use the following test conditions when performing single or multiple dilution methods. Any future changes in methodology will be supplied to the permittee by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Unless more stringent methods are specified by the DNR, the procedures shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.

Test conditions for Ceriodaphnia dubia:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light, 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	30 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	15 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	<24 h old
No. of animals/test vessel:	5
No. of replicates/concentration:	4
No. of organisms/concentration:	20 (minimum)
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

Test conditions for Pimephales promelas:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light/ 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	250 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	200 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	1-14 days (all same age)
No. of animals/test vessel:	10
No. of replicates/concentration:	4 (minimum) single dilution method 2 (minimum) multiple dilution method
No. of organisms/concentration:	40 (minimum) single dilution method 20 (minimum) multiple dilution method
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None, unless DO concentration falls below 4.0 mg/L; rate should not exceed 100 bubbles/min.
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test Acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Statement of Basis
Emory Creek Ranch Phase 3-4-5
NPDES #: MO-0134791
Taney County

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rational for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: Sewerage Works
Facility SIC Code(s): 8641

Facility Description: Recirculating sand or pea gravel filter system / chemical feed to facilitate phosphorus removal / flash mix / coagulation / ultraviolet disinfection / Sludge disposal by contract hauler.

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.0623	Equivalent to Secondary	Domestic New	2.4

Water Quality History: N/A

Comments: New Facility

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

Not Applicable ☒; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category list effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]: ☐
Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]: ☐
Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]: ☒
Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]: ☐
Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]: ☐
Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]: ☐
All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]: ☐

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Unnamed Tributary to Emory Creek	U	N/A	General Criteria	11010003	Ozark/White
Emory Creek	C	02435	LWW, AQL, WBC-B	11010003	Ozark/White

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery (CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).

** - Ecological Drainage Unit

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Unnamed Tributary to Emory Creek	0	0	0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Applicable ☒;

This facility discharges to a Losing Stream, as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], and has submitted alternative evaluations.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); CFR §122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

☒ - New facility.

ANTIDEGRADATION:

Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Applicable, but deferred ☒;

As per [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(D)], the three (3) levels of protection provided by the antidegradation policy in subsections (A), (B), and (C) of this section shall be implemented according to procedures developed by the department. On April 20, 2007, the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved *Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure* (Antidegradation Rule), which is applicable to new or upgraded/expanded facilities. The implementation of the Antidegradation Rule will be implemented upon promulgation, which is tentatively scheduled for August 2008.

APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:

Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the technology based effluent limits, water quality based limits, and from appropriate sections of the application.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Action taken by the department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;

The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Not Applicable ☒;

At this time, the permittee is not required to implement and enforce a Pretreatment Program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Not Applicable ☒;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs). Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm

Not Applicable ☒;

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW. Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs), AND INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I):

Collection systems are a critical element in the successful performance of the wastewater treatment process. Under certain conditions, poorly designed, built, managed, operated, and/or maintained systems can pose risks to public health, the environment, or both. Causes of SSOs include, but are not limited to, the following: high levels of I&I

during wet weather; blockages; structural, mechanical, or electrical failures; collapsed or broken sewer pipes; insufficient conveyance capacity; and vandalism. Effective and continuous management, operation, and maintenance, as well as ensuring adequate capacity and rehabilitation when necessary are critical to maintaining collection system capacity and performance while extending the life of the system.

Not Applicable ☒;

This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;

This permit does not contain a SOC.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

A plan to schedule activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. The plan may include, but is not limited to, treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control facility site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Not Applicable ☒;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the department to release into a given stream after the department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ☒;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration

C_s = upstream concentration

Q_s = upstream flow

C_e = effluent concentration

Q_e = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

WLA MODELING:

Not Applicable ☒;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by department staff.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ☒;

In accordance with the Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Furthermore, WET testing is a means by which the department determines that [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D, F, & G)] are being met by the permitted facility. In addition to justification for the WET testing, WET tests are required under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4] to be performed by specialist who are properly trained in conducting the test according to the methods prescribed by the Federal Government as referenced in [40 CFR Part 136]. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- ☐ Facility is a designated Major.
- ☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- ☐ Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- ☐ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- ☐ Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- ☒ Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow \geq 22,500 gpd.
- ☐ Other – please justify.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Not Applicable ☒;

This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Adjusted Design Flow:

10 CSR 20-6.011(1)(B)1. provides for an Adjusted Design Flow when calculating permit fees on human sewage treatment facilities. If the average flow is sixty percent (60%) or less than the system's design flow, the average flow may be substituted for the design flow when calculating the permit fee on human sewage treatment facilities. If the facility's actual average flow is consistently 60% or less than the permitted design flow, the facility may qualify for a reduction in your fee when:

- The facility has a valid permit, or has applied for re-issuance, is in compliance with the terms, conditions and effluent limitations of the permit, and the facility has a good compliance history; and

- Flow is not expected to exceed 60% of design flow for the remaining term of the existing operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;

At this time, the permittee has not requested an Adjusted Design Flow modification.

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
BOD ₅ **	MG/L	1	20	--	10	N/A	N/A
TSS **	MG/L	1	30	--	15	N/A	N/A
pH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.0-9.0	--	6.0-9.0	N/A	N/A
AMMONIA AS N (APRIL – JUNE)	MG/L	1,3,5	6.8	--	2.6	N/A	N/A
AMMONIA AS N (JULY - SEPTEMBER)	MG/L	1,3,5	3.2	--	1.2	N/A	N/A
AMMONIA AS N (OCTOBER – DECEMBER)	MG/L	1,3,5	6.8	--	2.6	N/A	N/A
AMMONIA AS N (JANUARY - MARCH)	MG/L	1,3,5	7.5	--	2.9	N/A	N/A
FECAL COLIFORM	***	1	1,000	--	400	N/A	N/A
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MG/L	1,9	1.0	--	0.5	N/A	N/A
NITRATE	MG/L	1	20.1	--	10	N/A	N/A
ALUMINUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MG/L	1	0.75	--	0.37	N/A	N/A
IRON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MG/L	1	0.6	--	0.3	N/A	N/A
TEMPERATURE	°C	1,5	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MG/L	1,3	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

*** - Monitoring requirement only**

*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform is a geometric mean.

**** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

N/A – Not applicable

S – Same as previous operating permit

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 6. Antidegradation Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 7. Water Quality Model |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 8. Best Professional Judgment |
| 4. Lagoon Policy | 9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy | 10. WET test Policy |
| | 11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).

- ☒ – 20 mg/L Daily Maximum and 10 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015]. The daily maximum is calculated by $(10 \text{ AML})(\text{LTAc}/1.5524 \text{ AML})(3.114/\text{LTAc}) = 20 \text{ mg/L}$ daily maximum. This method is outlined in SWRO-WP17-01 and is as protective as the weekly average of 15 mg/L, therefore the daily maximum is substituted for the weekly average in the permit..

Total Suspended Solids (TSS).

- ☒ – 30 mg/L Daily Maximum and 15 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015]. The daily maximum is calculated by $(15 \text{ AML})(\text{LTAc}/1.5524 \text{ AML})(3.114/\text{LTAc}) = 30 \text{ mg/L}$ daily maximum. This method is outlined in SWRO-WP17-01 and is as protective as the weekly average of 20 mg/L, therefore the daily maximum is substituted for the weekly average in the permit..

pH.

- ☒ – pH is limited to the range of 6.0 – 9.0 pH units, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015]. pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

Temperature. Monitoring requirement due to the toxicity of Ammonia varies by temperature.

Total Ammonia Nitrogen. Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg N/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg N/L)
Apr 1 – Jun 30	16	7.8	2.8	12.1
Jul 1 – Sept 30	28	7.8	1.3	12.1
Oct 1 – Dec 31	16	7.8	2.8	12.1
Jan 1 – Mar 31	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Spring: Apr 1 – Jun 30, Summer: Jul 1 – Sept 30, Fall: October 1 – Dec 31, Winter: Jan 1 – March 31

Spring – Chronic WLA = 2.8 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

$\text{LTA}_c = 2.8 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 2.2 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
 $\text{LTA}_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$\text{MDL} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 3.114 = 6.8 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 $\text{AML} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 1.19 = 2.6 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Summer – Chronic WLA = 1.3 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

$\text{LTA}_c = 1.3 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 1.0 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
 $\text{LTA}_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$\text{MDL} = 1.0 \text{ mg/L} * 3.114 = 3.2 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 $\text{AML} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 1.19 = 2.6 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Fall – Chronic WLA = 2.8 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

$\text{LTA}_c = 2.8 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 2.2 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
 $\text{LTA}_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$\text{MDL} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 3.114 = 6.8 \text{ mg N/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{AML} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 1.19 = 2.6 \text{ mg N/L}$$

[CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Winter – Chronic WLA = 3.1 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

$$\text{LTA}_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 2.4 \text{ mg N/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg N/L}$$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{MDL} = 2.4 \text{ mg/L} * 3.114 = 7.5 \text{ mg N/L}$$

$$\text{AML} = 2.2 \text{ mg/L} * 1.19 = 2.9 \text{ mg N/L}$$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

[CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Season	Maximum Daily Limit (mg N/L)	Average Monthly Limit (mg N/L)
Apr 1 – Jun 30	6.8	2.6
Jul 1 – Sept 30	3.2	1.2
Oct 1 – Dec 31	6.8	2.6
Jan 1 – Mar 31	7.5	2.9

Fecal Coliform. Discharge shall not contain more than a monthly geometric mean of 400 colonies/100 mL and a daily maximum of 1000 colonies/100 mL, [10 CSR 20-7.015.]. Future renewals of the facility operating permit will contain effluent limitations for E. coli, which will replace fecal coliform as the applicable bacteria criteria in Missouri's water quality standards

Total Phosphorus

0.5 mg/L per 10 CSR 20 - 7.015 (3).

The daily maximum is calculated by $(0.5 \text{ AML})(\text{LTAc}/1.5524 \text{ AML})(3.114/\text{LTAc}) = 1.0 \text{ mg/L}$ daily maximum.

This method is outlined in SWRO-WP17-01.

Aluminum, Total Recoverable Protection of Aquatic Life Chronic Criteria = 0.75 mg/L, Acute Criteria

Acute

$$((Q_e + Q_s) * C - (Q_s * C_s)) / Q_e$$

$$C_e = ((0.0623 + 0.0)0.75 - (0.0 * 0.0)) / 0.0623$$

$$C_e = 0.75 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{WLA}_a = 0.75 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_a = 0.75(0.321) = \mathbf{0.24075 \text{ mg/L}}$$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{MDL} = 0.24075(3.11) = 0.75 \text{ mg/L}$$

[CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{AML} = 0.24075(1.55) = 0.37 \text{ mg/L}$$

[CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

Iron, Total Recoverable Protection of Ground Water Chronic Criteria = 0.3 mg/L,

$$\text{WLA} = 0.3 \text{ mg/L}$$

Set the Average Monthly Limit equal to the WLA

[per EPA/505/2-90-001 Section 5.4.4]

$$\text{AML} = 0.3 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{MDL} = \text{AML} * 2.01$$

[CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile]

$$\text{MDL} = 0.3 * 2.01 = 0.6 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\mathbf{\text{MDL} = 0.6 \text{ mg/L}}$$

$$\mathbf{\text{AML} = 0.3 \text{ mg/L}}$$

Nitrate

WLA = 10.0 mg/L
 Set the Average Monthly Limit equal to the WLA [per EPA/505/2-90-001 Section 5.4.4]
 AML = 10.0 mg/L
 MDL = AML * 2.01 [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile]
 MDL = 10.0 * 2.01 = 20.1 mg/L

MDL = 20.1 mg/L
AML = 10.0mg/L

Dissolved Oxygen. Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen are included to determine whether “reasonable potential” to exceed water quality standards exists after the discharge begins.

WET Test. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the department’s Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

- ☐ Chronic
☒ Acute

☒ **No less than ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**

- ☒ Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow $\geq 22,500$ gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.
☐ Other, please justify.

☐ **No less than ONCE/YEAR:**

- ☐ Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow ≥ 1.0 MGD.
☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds their design flow.
☐ Facility exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
☐ Facility has Water Quality-based effluent limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃).

☐ **No less than TWICE/YEAR:**

- ☐ Facility is subject to production processes alterations throughout the year.
☐ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
☐ Facility has been granted seasonal relief of numeric limitations.

Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) calculations determine if the facility is to conduct single dilution or multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to unclassified or Class C receiving streams, the AEC% is 100%. Facilities with less than 100% for an AEC% will have multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to Lakes and have Acute WET testing, the AEC% is 100% due to [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] ZID not allowed for Lakes.

$$\text{Acute AEC\%} = ((\text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}} + \text{ZID}_{7Q10}) / \text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}})^{-1} \times 100 = 100\%$$

Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
BOD ₅	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
pH	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TEMPERATURE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
FECAL COLIFORM	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
NITRATES / NITRITES	MONTHLY	MONTHLY

DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
ALUMINUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
IRON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY

Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

Date of Factsheet: April 15, 2008 (Updated September 27, 2010)

Mr. Joshua L. Grosvenor, E.I.
 WP Engineering Unit
 (417) 891-4300
josh.grosvenor@dnr.mo.gov